CAMBISTA 2

ペ だししい

Chief, RE+3 R

14 May 1952

Chief of Station, Frankfort

Operational/REDSOX/ABQUOR

\*Sommary Report on Carriage Test of CAMBISTA 2 on 22 April 1952.

- 1. Object: Assessment & Control.
- 2. Procedure: Standard, using subject's secondary language ("erman).
- 3. Estimated Reliability of the Test Regults: Subject was moderately and fairly consistently responsive, and it is estimated that on the main issues the results are "reliable", in the scale of "reliable", "fairly reliable", and "unreliable".
- 4. Summary of the Test Results: Subject appears to be the person he claims to be and does not appear to be an enemy agent. Subject does not appear to have motives hostile to us but appears to be more interested in money than he eares to admit. Subject appears to have told the main truth about his involvement with the Belgian IS but appears to be concealing information of some sort concerning relations with the British IS. Subject appears to be practicing descrition to an unknown but considerable degree concerning his past in the world—ar II period. Subject is clearly quite security—conscious but appears to have informed insiders in his organization more fully than he cares to admit.
- 5. Appraisal of Subject: A chrewd operator: glib and aggressive. Tends to worry expensively and may in time come to contract a heart ailment from worry. It was evident that subject bases a great deal of his security feelings on his ability to lie and dissimulate; hence the visible depression into which he fell when it became clear to him that he was vulnerable to Carriage. Subject has a well-developed "clandestine mentality" and the other character elements which make for success in clandestine activities.
- 6. Remarks & Resementations: It would appear that subject has considerably revised his life-history to conform to the requirements of his present environment and that he attaches great importance to maintaining the facade he has set up. An attempt to entract the actual story might well destroy the usefulness of the subject. Subject appears well aware that his sensitivity to questions concerning his past was correctly interpreted and this would seem to provide us with a strong instrument of control. Independent investigation of the subject's past might serve to strengthen this control.

\*Detailed Report to Case Officer on 23 April 1952.

DISTR: 5-RE: 1-COS; 1-MOB 1-MOB//

SECRET

DECLASSIFIED AND RELECTED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B NAZIWAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2007

74 64 - 2/14